



AI時代のOSS開発

*NaCl
OSS Vision
Ruby Association*

Yukihiro "Matz" Matsumoto
@yukihiro_matz



2025



2023



2024



2025



Gemini CLI / Claude Code / Codex



ゲームチェンジャー



Claude Opus 4.5



(さらなる)ゲームチェンジャー



Karatsuba



mruby



RSSリーダー



Ruby AOTコンパイラ



Emacsを封印



縛りプレイ



ソフトウェア開発の重心移動



Ruby



初期



構想・設計からコーディングまで



一人しかいないから



後期



コミッターが参加



自分より賢い



Rubyの方向性を決める



プロダクトオーナー



Rubyの未来を考える



ビジョナリー









Too Much To Do



I have many things I want to do



Many, many things



- mruby GC improvements
- bigint optimization
- Ruby Compiler
- Language design proposals
- ...



And more



Every week, more ideas



Every week, more issues



Every week, more proposals



The list grows



My time doesn't



Neither does my ability



Ideas pile up



Most never get done



This is frustrating



You probably know the feeling



AI Changed Things



AI getting better and better



I got better at using AI



Last year I said:



They (AI) are not your Master



I meant it



But they are not your slave either



They are something new



A partner, sometimes



A tool, sometimes



You still lead



You still decide



But now, things get done



What I Built



Let me show you



mruby Set class



A small thing



Implemented in C



(I didn't write the code myself)



It works



Karatsuba



For mruby bigint



Multiplication, faster than schoolbook



I tried this in September 2024



With ChatGPT



It didn't work



I gave up



Tried again in January 2026



With Claude Code



Same problem. Different time.



This time: Toom-3 + Karatsuba



Success



Why now?



AI got better



I got better at using AI



RSS Reader



I wanted a good RSS reader



I couldn't find one I liked



So I made my own



In October 2025



It works



I use it every day



Regex for mruby



Mostly DFA



ReDOS aware



Small ideas, done



What about the big one?



The dream I had for years



Meet Spinel



Ruby AOT Compiler



Why AOT?



- Fast startup
- Small binary
- Single file deploy
- Embedded systems



JIT is great



YJIT, ZJIT — amazing work



But JIT is not AOT



Different tools for different jobs



mruby is small



But it need to carry whole runtime system



What if we could compile Ruby to the native
code



For many years, this was just a dream



Why a dream, not a project?



Writing a Ruby compiler is hard



Because Ruby is dynamic



Simple compilation requires full runtime



Not Fast, Not Small



Requires Type Analysis



But We (I) hate Type Declaratons



TypeProf is one attempt



AOT had been too big for me



Alone, at least



But I was not alone anymore



March 2026



I made **Spinel**



How it works



- Input: Ruby source
- Output: C source
- Then: native binary



No Ruby at runtime



Just libc + libm



How?



- Parse with Prism
- Whole-program type inference
- Generate optimized C
- Compile with `cc -O2`



Written in Ruby



Self-hosting



spinel compiles spinel



spinel compiled by spinel compiles spinel



History



Version 1: C, 18,000 lines



In 2 weeks



Version 2: Ruby



In 2 days



Version 3: Self-hosting Ruby subset



Worked In a day; Improved since



Three rewrites in a month



AI made rewriting cheap



Supported Features



- Classes, inheritance, mixin
- Blocks, yield, lambda
- Exceptions
- Pattern matching
- Fiber
- Regexp (built-in engine)
- Bigint (arbitrary precision)



Optimizations



- Whole-program type inference
- Value-type promotion
- Constant propagation
- Loop-invariant hoisting
- Method inlining
- String concat flattening
- Bigint auto-promotion



Value-type promotion



Small classes become stack structs



1 million allocations



85 ms \rightarrow 2 ms



Some programs emit no GC at all



Let's see the numbers



Benchmarks



vs CRuby
(Ruby 4.1.0dev, latest CRuby; no JIT)



Computation



- life: 86.7x
- ackermann: 74.8x
- mandelbrot: 58.1x
- fib: 34.2x
- nqueens: 30.4x
- tarai: 28.8x



Data structures



- rbtree: 22.6x
- splay tree: 13.9x
- huffman: 9.8x
- so_lists: 5.4x
- binary_trees: 3.6x
- gcbench: 2.0x



Real-world programs



- json_parse: 10.1x
- bigint_fib: 8.0x
- ao_render: 8.0x
- template engine: 6.2x
- csv_process: 3.7x
- io_wordcount: 2.9x



Geometric mean



~11.6x



Across 28 benchmarks



vs the fastest CRuby available



Numbers are nice



But let's see it run



Live Demo



Demo 1: fib(40)



Classic recursive Fibonacci



CRuby vs Spinel



Demo 2: Mandelbrot



Drawn in your terminal (Sixel)



Same code. Same image.



But wait...



YJIT is fast



YJIT is great work



AOT and JIT are different tools



JIT: long-running programs



AOT: startup, size, embedded



Complementary, not competing



Use Cases



- CLI tools
- Serverless functions
- Embedded systems
- Single-file distribution



Limitations



Not all of Ruby



AOT has trade-offs



No eval



- eval
- instance_eval
- class_eval



No dynamic metaprogramming



- send
- method_missing
- define_method (dynamic)



No Thread, No Mutex



(Fiber works)



No encoding



UTF-8 / ASCII only



No require (yet)



(require_relative works, resolved at compile time)



Not all Ruby



But useful Ruby



Status



Experimental



But working



74 tests pass



55 benchmarks pass



Open Source



MIT License



github.com/matz/spinel

★ Welcome!



Come try it



Come break it



Come help



Thank you!



One More Thing...



I have a cat



Meet Suppi





Where does "Suppi" come from?



Spinel Sun
スピネル・サン



Ruby Moon
ルビー・ムーン



Ruby and Spinel



It is Spinel,



Not a Ruby



My compiler



Takes Ruby



Produces native code



Looks like Ruby. Runs different.



That's why



Spinel



Thank you!



Sponsored by
NaCl



Sponsored by
OSS Vision



Sponsored by
GitHub Sponsors



- **Buildkite**
- **JetBrains**
- **Shopify**



Sponsored by
Ruby Community